

# Modeling Subcategorization Through Co-occurrence

A Computational Lexical Resource for Italian Verbs

Gabriella Lapesa<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Lenci<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Osnabrück, Institute of Cognitive Science

<sup>2</sup>University of Pisa, Department of Linguistics

*Explorations in Syntactic Government and Subcategorisation*  
University of Cambridge, 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2011

## Outline

- 1 Introducing LexIt
  - The Project
  - Distributional Profiles
- 2 Building Distributional Profiles
  - Pre-processing
  - Subcategorization Frames
  - Lexical sets
  - Selectional preferences
- 3 Ongoing Work
- 4 Conclusions

## Computational approaches to argument structure

- The **automatic acquisition of lexical information from corpora** is a longstanding research avenue in computational linguistics
  - subcategorization frames (Korhonen 2002, Schulte im Walde 2009, etc.)
  - selectional preferences (Resnik 1993, Light & Greiff 2002, Erk *et al.* 2010, etc.)
  - verb classes (Merlo & Stevenson 2001, Schulte im Walde 2006, Kipper-Schuler *et al.* 2008, etc.)
- Corpus-based information has been used to build lexical resources
  - cf. VALEX for English (Korhonen *et al.* 2006), LexSchem for French (Messiant *et al.* 2008), etc.

## LexIt: a computational lexical resource for Italian

*LexIt* is a computational framework for the automatic acquisition and exploration of corpus-based **distributional profiles** of Italian verbs, nouns and adjectives

- *LexIt* is publicly available through a web interface:
  - <http://sesia.humnet.unipi.it/lexit/>
- *LexIt* is the first large-scale resource of such type for Italian, aiming at characterizing the valence properties of predicates fully on distributional ground

## The *LexIt* Distributional Profiles

The **distributional profile** for a word  $w$  is an array of statistical information extracted from a corpus to characterize the distributional behavior of  $w$

The *LexIt* distributional profiles include:

- **syntactic profiles**, specifying the **syntactic slots** (subject, complements, modifiers, etc.) and **syntactic frames** with which predicates co-occur
- **semantic profiles**, composed by:
  - the **lexical sets** with the most prototypical **fillers** realizing the syntactic slots;
  - the **semantic classes** characterizing the **selectional preferences** of syntactic slots

## Modeling subcategorization through co-occurrence

- Distributional profiles in *LexIt* are **automatically extracted** from large corpora with computational linguistics tools (without any manual revision)
- The *LexIt* profiles contain **statistical indexes** to identify the most salient and prototypical distributional features of predicates:
  - co-occurrence frequency
  - association measures
- Corpus-derived statistics are used to model the association between verbs and syntactic constructions, lexical fillers and semantic classes as a **gradient preference** instead of categorical selection

## Association Measures

“A simple association measure interprets co-occurrence frequency  $O$  by comparison with the expected frequency  $E$ , and calculates and association score as a quantitative measure for the attraction between two words” (Evert, 2008:18)

Local Mutual Information (Evert, 2008)

$$LMI = O \times \log_2 \frac{O}{E} \quad (1)$$

Key properties of LMI:

- downgrades the risk of overestimating the significance of low frequency events
- is a two-sided measure: quantifies both attraction and repulsion

## The *LexIt* framework

- *LexIt* is an open and parametrizable framework
  - source corpora
  - part of speech to be profiled
  - definition of subcategorization frames
  - statistical indexes
  - semantic classes for selectional preferences, etc.
- Today we focus on the acquisition of distributional profiles for Italian **verbs**

## Building distributional profiles

- **Pre-processing: linguistic analysis with automatic tools**
- Extraction of subcategorization frames from parsed text
- Assignment of lexical sets to argument slots
- Selectional preferences: from lexical sets to semantic classes

## Pre-processing

- **Tokenization, Lemmatization, Part-of-speech tagging**
  - **TANL** (Text Analytics and Natural Language), a suite of modules for Italian Natural Language Processing developed by the University of Pisa and ILC-CNR
- **Dependency Parsing**
  - **DeSR**, a stochastic dependency parser (Attardi & Dell'Orletta 2009)
  - dependency trees are constructed without relying on any subcategorization lexicon

## Building distributional profiles

- Pre-processing: linguistic analysis with automatic tools ✓
- **Extraction of subcategorization frames from parsed text**
- Assignment of lexical sets to argument slots
- Selectional preferences: from lexical sets to semantic classes

## Subcategorization frames

### Subcategorization Frame (SCF):

- represents a pattern of syntactic dependencies headed by the target lemma
- is formed by an unordered set of *slots*, representing argument positions (i.e., subject, object, etc.)
- is identified by a synthetic label
- Verb SCFs also include:
  - the zero argument construction
    - *Gianni è arrivato* "John arrived" ⇒ **subj#0**
  - the reflexive pronoun *si*
    - *Il vaso si è rotto* "The vase si-broke" ⇒ **subj#si#0**

## Subcategorization frames

- No formal distinction is made between **arguments** and **adjuncts**
  - *abitare al mare* (“to live at the sea”) ⇒ **subj#comp-a**
  - *mangiare al mare* (“to eat at the sea”) ⇒ **subj#comp-a**
  - information between argument-adjuncts is not explicitly encoded in the parser
  - arguments and adjuncts are notoriously hard to discriminate
- For each frame, the *Lext* profiles also specify the most prototypical:
  - verbal modifiers
    - *entrare correndo* (“to run into”)
  - adverbial modifiers
    - *correre velocemente* (“to run fast”)

## Subcategorization frames

Frame: SUBJ#OBJ#COMP-A

Target: *dare* (“to give”), freq 336731; Frame-verb: freq 107388, LMI 327656

- *Gianni ha dato il libro a Maria* “Gianni gave the book to Mary”
- *Gianni ha dato a Maria il libro* “Gianni gave Mary the book”
- *Gianni ha generosamente dato a Maria il libro* “Gianni gave Mary the book generously”
- *(Lui) ha dato il libro a sua madre piangendo* “(He) gave the book to his mother crying”

## Subcategorization frames

SUBJ#SI#0

- Target: *rompere* (“to break”) freq 52537; Frame-verb: freq 1980, LMI 3293.
  - *Il vetro si è rotto* “The glass broke”
  - *Il vetro si rompe facilmente* “Glass breaks easily”
- Target: *fermare* (“to stop”) freq 52537; Frame-verb : freq 10967, LMI 28864.
  - *La macchina si è fermata frenando* “The car stopped braking”

## Extracting subcategorization frames

- 1 **104 SCFs** were selected among the most frequent syntactic dependency combinations in the parsed corpus
- 2 The **joint frequency** between each verb and the SCFs was computed from the verb dependency patterns automatically extracted from the parsed corpus
- 3 The **statistical salience** of each SCFs with the target word was estimated with LMI

## Building distributional profiles

- Pre-processing: linguistic analysis with automatic tools ✓
- Extraction of subcategorization frames from parsed text ✓
- **Assignment of lexical sets to argument slots**
- Selectional preferences: from lexical sets to semantic classes

## Lexical sets

### Lexical set (Hanks 1996; Hanks and Pustejovsky 2005)

The set of the words that typically occur with a target verb in a given syntactic position, ranked by their degree of prototypicality

- For each slot in a SCF, the slot-filler association strength was computed with LMI
- The slot lexical set is formed by the lexical fillers with LMI > 0

## Lexical sets

Example: *leggere* ("to read"), SCF: subj#obj, slot: obj

Filler	Frequency	LMI
<i>libro</i> ("book")	1617	9907
<i>giornale</i> ("magazine")	1511	9939
<i>testo</i> ("text")	567	2951
<i>articolo</i> ("article")	435	2172
<i>lettera</i> ("letter")	476	2157
<i>dichiarazione</i> ("declaration")	432	2013
<i>romanzo</i> ("novel")	303	1661
<i>sceneggiatura</i> ("plot")	236	1601
<i>pagina</i> ("page")	338	1588
<i>comunicato</i> ("announcement")	237	1053

## Lexical sets

Example: *comunicare* ("to communicate"), SCF: subj#obj#comp-a, slot: obj

Filler	Frequency	LMI
<i>decisione</i> ("decision")	126	719
<i>notizia</i> ("news")	90	505
<i>intenzione</i> ("intention")	34	211
<i>nome</i> ("name")	28	97
<i>variazione</i> ("variation")	11	68
<i>esito</i> ("outcome")	13	66
<i>disponibilità</i> ("availability")	13	64
<i>esistenza</i> ("existence")	12	54
<i>risultato</i> ("result")	18	53
<i>informazione</i> ("information")	13	52

## Lexical sets

Example: *correre* ("to run"), SCF: subj#0, slot: adverbial modifier

Filler	Frequency	LMI
<i>troppo</i> ("too much")	181	1470
<i>molto</i> ("a lot")	92	546
<i>dietro</i> ("behind")	53	360
<i>via</i> ("away")	62	354
<i>tanto</i> ("a lot")	57	347
<i>avanti</i> ("forward")	52	258
<i>sempre</i> ("always")	60	257
<i>insieme</i> ("together")	47	225
<i>bene</i> ("well")	46	169
<i>velocemente</i> ("quickly")	20	155

## Building distributional profiles

- Pre-processing: linguistic analysis with automatic tools ✓
- Extraction of subcategorization frames from parsed text ✓
- Assignment of lexical sets to argument slots ✓
- **Selectional preferences: from lexical sets to semantic classes**

## Selectional preferences

### Selectional preferences for a (noun-selecting) slot *s*

A ranked list of the noun semantic classes (e.g. PERSON, ANIMAL, etc.) that best describe the semantic types of the fillers of *s*, i.e. the semantic constraints of *s*

- Semantic classes in *LexIt*
  - ANIMAL, ARTIFACT, ACT, ATTRIBUTE, FOOD, COMMUNICATION, KNOWLEDGE, BODY PART, EVENT, NATURAL PHENOMENON, SHAPE, GROUP, LOCATION, MOTIVATION, NATURAL OBJECT, PERSON, PLANT, POSSESSION, PROCESS, QUANTITY, FEELING, SUBSTANCE, STATE, TIME

## *LexIt* and WordNet

- The *LexIt* classes are the 24 top-nodes of the Italian section of MultiWordNet (Pianta *et al.* 2002), a large scale multilingual lexicon based on Princeton's WordNet (Fellbaum 1998)
  - word senses are represented by synsets (i.e., sets of synonyms)
  - synsets are arranged in a semantic hierarchy
- Two points to keep in mind:
  - semantically ambiguous words belong to more than one synset
  - the top-nodes we selected are mutually exclusive: no subtyping relations hold among the *LexIt* semantic classes

## Extracting selectional preferences

- The selectional preferences of a slot are obtained through an **inductive generalization** from the slot lexical sets:
  - the slot-filler joint frequency was uniformly divided among the different senses assigned to the filler in MultiWordNet
  - the slot-class joint frequency was obtained by propagating the sense frequency up to the 24 top-nodes
  - the LMI association score between the slot and each semantic class was computed using the slot-class joint frequency
  - the semantic classes with LMI > 0 were selected to represent the selectional preferences of the slot

## Selectional preferences

Example: *leggere* ("to read"), SCF: subj#obj, slot: obj

Semantic Class	Association Strength
Communication	16452
Artifact	2151
Substance	149
Time	12

### Lexical set

*libro* ("book"), *giornale* ("magazine"), *testo* ("text"), *articolo* ("article"), *lettera* ("letter"), *dichiarazione* ("declaration"), *romanzo* ("novel"), *sceneggiatura* ("plot"), *pagina* ("page"), *comunicato* ("announcement").

## Selectional preferences

Example: *comunicare* ("to communicate"), SCF: subj#obj#comp-a, slot: obj

Semantic Class	Association Strength
Knowledge	187
Act	110
Feeling	93
Attribute	71
Communication	65
State	57

### Lexical Set

*decisione* (*decision*), *notizia* (*news*), *intenzione* (*intention*), *nome* (*name*), *variazione* (*variation*), *esito* (*outcome*), *disponibilità* (*availability*), *esistenza* (*existence*), *risultato* (*result*).

## Distributional semantics

### Meaning and distribution

The analysis of a relevant number of contexts of a word sheds light on key aspects of its meaning (cf. Harris 1954, Firth 1957, Cruse 1986, Miller & Charles 1991, etc.)

Distributional semantic profiles have both a **descriptive and a predictive function**:

- lexical sets provide a "snapshot" of the most typical fillers of a verb in a certain syntactic position
- selectional preferences generalize from these instances to more abstract semantic properties of the verb arguments, thereby making predictions about previously unseen slot fillers

## The current status of *LexIt*

- *LexIt* corpora
  - *La Repubblica* (ca. 331 millions tokens of newspaper articles)
  - *Wikipedia.it* (ca. 152 millions tokens)
- Distributional profiles for **verbs** and **nouns**
  - La Repubblica* 3,873 most frequent verbs, and 12,766 most frequent nouns (min. freq. = 100)
  - Wikipedia.it* 2,831 most frequent verbs, and 11,056 most frequent nouns (min. freq. = 100)
- Distributional profiles for adjectives are coming soon!

## Ongoing Work

### Evaluation of the SCF module

- Comparison of syntactic profiles contained in *LexIt* with a manually developed valence lexicon: the *Wörterbuch der Italianischen Verben* (Blumenthal & Rovere 1998)
- Qualitative analysis of the syntactic profiles, to identify the frames wrongly associated to the target verbs

## Ongoing Work

### Argument Polysemy

- **Logical polysemy** (Pustejovsky 1995): “the ability of some words to appear in contexts that are contradictory in type specifications”
- Relying on the information concerning selectional preferences over single classes we applied association measures to construct corpus-based “**polysemic semantic types**” possibly associated to frame slots
  - e.g., how many words occurring in the direct object position of the verb to read are assigned by MultiWordNet to both ARTIFACT and COMMUNICATION?

## The multiple facets of *LexIt*

- **A valence lexicon** *Combinatory dictionary of Contemporary Spanish* (Bosque, 2004), *Wörterbuch der Italianischen Verben* (Blumenthal and Rovere, 1998)
- **A dictionary of collocations** *Oxford Collocation Dictionary* (Deuter and Venning, 2002)
- **A corpus-based electronic dictionary** *Collins Cobuild English Dictionary* (Sinclair, 1996)



## Conclusions

- *Lext* contains distributional information of Italian words automatically extracted from corpora
  - it is not “noise-free”, due to the current limits of computational linguistics tools (e.g., part-of-speech tagging and parsing errors)
- Possible applications
  - induction of distributional verb classes
  - “usage-based” models of the syntax-semantics interface
  - acquisition of frequency data about subcategorization frames for psycholinguistic research

## References

- Attardi, Giuseppe & Felice Dell'Orletta. 2009. “Reverse Revision and Linear Tree Combination for Dependency Parsing”. *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT 2009*, Boulder, Col.261-264.
- Baroni, Marco, Silvia Bernardini, Federica Comastri, Lorenzo Piccioni, Alessandra Volpi, Guy Aston & Marco Mazzoleni. 2004. “Introducing the *La Repubblica* Corpus: A Large, Annotated, TEI(XML)-Compliant Corpus of Newspaper Italian”. *Proceedings of LREC 2004*. Lisboa. 1771- 1774.
- Blumenthal, Peter & Giovanni Rovere. 1998. *Wörterbuch der italienischen Verben*. Ernest Klettverlag, Stuttgart.
- Bosque, Ignacio. 2004. *REDES: diccionario combinatorio del español contemporáneo*. SM Ediciones, Madrid.
- Cruse, David Alan. 1986. *Lexical semantics*. Cambridge University Press.

## References

- Deuter, Margaret & Harry Venning. 2002. *Oxford Collocations dictionary for students of English*. Oxford University Press.
- Erk, Katrin, Sebastian Padó & Ulrike Padó . 2010. “Corpus-driven Model of Regular and Inverse Selectional Preferences”. *Computational Linguistics* 36(4), 723–763.
- Evert, Stefan. 2008. “Corpora and Collocations”. In *Corpus Linguistics. An International Handbook* ed. by Anke Lüdeling & Merja Kytö, 1212-1248. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Fellbaum, Christiane, ed. 1998. *WordNet An Electronic Lexical Database*. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press.
- Firth, John Rupert. 1957. “A Synopsis of Linguistic Theory, 1930-1955”. in J.R. Firth et al. *Studies in Linguistic Analysis*. Special volume of the Philological Society. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Hanks, Patrick. 1996. “Contextual Dependency and Lexical Sets”. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics* 1:1.75-98.

## References

- Hanks, Patrick & James Pustejovsky. 2005. “A pattern dictionary for natural language processing”. *Revue Française de linguistique appliquée*. 63-82.
- Harris, Zellig S. 1954. “Distributional Structure”. *Word*, 10:2-3.146-62 [reprinted in Harris, Zellig S., 1970. *Papers in Structural and Transformational Linguistics*, Dordrecht: Reidel.775-794].
- Kipper-Schuler, Karin, Anna Korhonen, Neville Ryant & Martha Palmer. 2008. “A Large-Scale Classification of English Verbs”. *Journal of Language Resources and Evaluation* 42:1.21-40.
- Korhonen, Anna. 2009. “Automatic Lexical Classification - Balancing between Machine Learning and Linguistics”. *Proceedings of the 23rd Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation*, Hong Kong.
- Korhonen, Anna, Yuval Krymolowski & Ted Briscoe. 2006. “A Large Subcategorization Lexicon for Natural Language Processing Applications” *Proceedings of the Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (LREC)*, Genova.

